

catena-Poly[[[tetraquazinc(II)]- μ -2,5-dihydroxybenzene-1,4-diacetato- $\kappa^2 O^1 : O^4$] dihydrate]

Liang Wang,^{a*} Hongwei Zhang,^a Lin Yue^b and Zhaojun Zhang^a

^aDepartment of Environmental Engineering, Polytechnic Tianjin University, Tianjin 300160, People's Republic of China, and ^bTianjin Tianle International Engineering Consulting Co, Tianjin 300203, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: mashi7822@163.com


Received 4 September 2008; accepted 30 October 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 113\text{ K}$; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004\text{ \AA}$; R factor = 0.032; wR factor = 0.117; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.7.

The title compound, $\{[\text{Zn}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8\text{O}_6)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}\}_n$, is a one-dimensional coordination polymer with 2,5-dihydroxybenzene-1,4-diacetate acting as bridging ligand. The zigzag chains, extending parallel to [011], are further packed into a three-dimensional network by hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For related structures, see Ren *et al.* (2008); Cano *et al.* (1997); Sun *et al.* (2001); Zhao *et al.* (2004).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{Zn}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8\text{O}_6)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$

$M_r = 397.63$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

$a = 11.122 (2)\text{ \AA}$

$b = 7.5176 (15)\text{ \AA}$

$c = 8.6417 (17)\text{ \AA}$

$\beta = 95.12 (3)^\circ$

$V = 719.7 (2)\text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 2$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\mu = 1.77\text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 113 (2)\text{ K}$

$0.32 \times 0.24 \times 0.10\text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*CrystalStructure*; Rigaku/MSC, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.601$, $T_{\max} = 0.843$

6863 measured reflections
1833 independent reflections
1405 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.041$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.117$
 $S = 1.17$
1833 reflections
125 parameters
9 restraints

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.60\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.66\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$).

$D-\text{H} \cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H} \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-\text{H} \cdots A$
O3-H3 \cdots O6 ⁱ	0.84	1.92	2.725 (3)	160
O4-H4A \cdots O2 ⁱⁱ	0.857 (10)	1.823 (15)	2.616 (3)	153 (3)
O4-H4A \cdots O1 ⁱⁱ	0.857 (10)	2.45 (3)	3.011 (3)	123 (3)
O4-H4B \cdots O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.859 (10)	1.925 (10)	2.783 (3)	176 (3)
O5-H5A \cdots O3 ^{iv}	0.853 (10)	2.000 (15)	2.828 (3)	164 (3)
O5-H5B \cdots O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.855 (10)	1.956 (15)	2.787 (3)	164 (3)
O6-H6A \cdots O2 ^v	0.836 (10)	2.11 (3)	2.781 (3)	137 (3)
O6-H6B \cdots O1	0.843 (10)	1.908 (15)	2.721 (3)	162 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y + 1, z$; (ii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (iii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (v) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/MSC, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalStructure*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *CrystalStructure*.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 50578108) and the Sci and Tec Innovation Foundation of Tianjin (No. 06FZZDSH00900).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BQ2094).

References

- Cano, J., Munno, G. D., Sanz, J. L., Ruiz, R., Faus, J., Lloret, F., Julve, M. & Caneschi, A. (1997). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 1915–1924.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Ren, P., Xu, N., Chen, C., Song, H. B., Shi, W. & Cheng, P. (2008). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **11**, 730–732.
- Rigaku/MSC (2005). *CrystalStructure*. Rigaku/MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Sun, D. F., Gao, R., Liang, Y. C., Shi, Q., Su, W. P. & Hong, M. C. (2001). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 2335–2340.
- Zhao, B., Cheng, P., Chen, X. Y., Cheng, C., Shi, W., Liao, D. Z., Yan, S. P. & Jiang, Z. H. (2004). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 3012–3013.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m1505 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808035514]

catena-Poly[[[tetraquazinc(II)]- μ -2,5-dihydroxybenzene-1,4-diacetato- $\kappa^2 O^1 : O^4$] dihydrate]

L. Wang, H. Zhang, L. Yue and Z. Zhang

Comment

Rigid carboxylato ligands, such as benzene-carboxylic acid, pyridine-carboxylic acid, *etc.* have been widely utilized to synthesize coordination polymers because they can link metal ions *via* one carboxyl group or *via* the aroma rings, leading plentiful varieties of structures (Cano *et al.*, 1997; Sun *et al.*, 2001; Zhao, *et al.*, 2004). In contrast, flexible aroma-carboxylic acid and their complexes are less studied comparing to the rigid ones. (Ren *et al.*, 2008)

In this contribution, a flexible ligand, 2,5-dihydroxy-*p*-benzenediacetic acid (H₂dba), was selected to construct coordination polymer, and the title complex was obtained under solvothermal conditions.

The Zn(II) ion in the title compound is coordinated by two oxygen atoms from dba anions in the apical sites and four water molecules in the equatorial plane (Fig. 1). The Zn(II) ions are linked through dba dianion forming one-dimensional chain (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the chains are packed into three-dimensional supermolecular moiety by O—H···O H-bonds (Fig. 3).

Experimental

A mixture of Zn(Ac)₂2H₂O (0.5 mmol, 109.8 mg), H₂dba (0.5 mmol, 133.0 mg), 10 ml THF and 10 ml water was put into a 25 ml acid digestion bomb and heated at 80°C for three days. After cooling to room temperature, the title compound (56% yield based on Zn(II) salt) was obtained. Elemental analysis (%) for the title compound C₁₀H₂₀ZnO₁₂: found: C, 29.94; H, 4.96; N, 0. Calc.: C, 30.20; H, 5.07; N, 0.

Refinement

The carboxyl H and aromatic H were placed in calculated positions and refined in riding mode with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. Water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined as riding in as-found relative positions with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. Symmetry code: A - x , $-y + 2$ - z .



Fig. 2. One-dimensional chain structure of the title compound. H atoms and lattice water molecules are omitted for clarity. Symmetry code: (A) x, y, z ; (B) $-x, -y + 2, -z$; (C) $1 - x, 1 - y, -z$.

supplementary materials




Fig. 3. The packing diagram of the title compound.

catena-Poly[[[tetraquazinc(II)]- μ -2,5-dihydroxybenzene-1,4-diacetato- $\kappa^2 O^1:O^4$] dihydrate]

Crystal data

[Zn(C ₁₀ H ₈ O ₆)(H ₂ O) ₄] _n ·2H ₂ O	$F_{000} = 412$
$M_r = 397.63$	$D_x = 1.835 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -P2ybc	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 11.122 (2) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 1803 reflections
$b = 7.5176 (15) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.9\text{--}28.6^\circ$
$c = 8.6417 (17) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 1.77 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 95.12 (3)^\circ$	$T = 113 (2) \text{ K}$
$V = 719.7 (2) \text{ \AA}^3$	Prism, colorless
$Z = 2$	$0.32 \times 0.24 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn diffractometer	1833 independent reflections
Radiation source: rotating anode	1405 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.041$
$T = 113(2) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.6^\circ$
ω scans	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 1.8^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalStructure; Rigaku/MSC, 2005)	$h = -14\text{--}14$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.601$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.843$	$k = -10\text{--}10$
6863 measured reflections	$l = -10\text{--}11$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	9 restraints
Least-squares matrix: full	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0565P)^2 + 0.7652P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.117$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.17$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
1833 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.60 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
125 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.66 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
	Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Zn1	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	0.00908 (16)
O1	0.33235 (19)	0.5544 (3)	0.5773 (2)	0.0106 (4)
O2	0.30469 (19)	0.8254 (3)	0.4764 (3)	0.0142 (5)
O3	0.17185 (19)	1.0259 (3)	0.7503 (3)	0.0124 (5)
H3	0.1832	1.1332	0.7746	0.019*
O4	0.4629 (2)	0.2263 (3)	0.5260 (3)	0.0121 (4)
H4A	0.5325 (13)	0.207 (5)	0.494 (4)	0.018*
H4B	0.4037 (18)	0.197 (5)	0.460 (3)	0.018*
O5	0.4263 (2)	0.5039 (3)	0.2703 (3)	0.0138 (4)
H5A	0.3500 (11)	0.517 (4)	0.268 (4)	0.021*
H5B	0.449 (3)	0.426 (4)	0.207 (4)	0.021*
C1	0.2689 (3)	0.6913 (4)	0.5470 (3)	0.0103 (6)
C2	0.1445 (3)	0.6927 (4)	0.6029 (4)	0.0107 (6)
H2A	0.1014	0.5838	0.5647	0.013*
H2B	0.1525	0.6879	0.7178	0.013*
C3	0.0690 (2)	0.8511 (4)	0.5524 (3)	0.0090 (6)
C4	-0.0186 (3)	0.8388 (4)	0.4269 (3)	0.0103 (6)
H4	-0.0321	0.7277	0.3758	0.012*
C5	0.0863 (2)	1.0146 (4)	0.6249 (3)	0.0095 (5)
O6	0.2650 (2)	0.3550 (3)	0.8178 (3)	0.0133 (4)
H6A	0.246 (3)	0.426 (4)	0.886 (3)	0.020*
H6B	0.286 (3)	0.395 (4)	0.733 (2)	0.020*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Zn1	0.0073 (2)	0.0100 (3)	0.0101 (3)	0.00122 (18)	0.00169 (16)	0.00064 (19)
O1	0.0082 (10)	0.0111 (10)	0.0125 (10)	0.0037 (8)	0.0015 (8)	0.0024 (8)
O2	0.0097 (10)	0.0127 (10)	0.0204 (12)	0.0011 (8)	0.0035 (9)	0.0034 (9)
O3	0.0108 (10)	0.0131 (11)	0.0125 (11)	-0.0002 (8)	-0.0035 (8)	-0.0011 (8)
O4	0.0086 (9)	0.0104 (10)	0.0177 (11)	0.0004 (8)	0.0032 (8)	0.0010 (8)
O5	0.0111 (10)	0.0182 (11)	0.0117 (11)	0.0018 (9)	-0.0007 (8)	-0.0026 (9)

supplementary materials

C1	0.0089 (13)	0.0116 (14)	0.0104 (14)	0.0005 (11)	-0.0001 (11)	-0.0042 (11)
C2	0.0080 (13)	0.0126 (14)	0.0117 (14)	0.0043 (10)	0.0023 (11)	0.0046 (11)
C3	0.0049 (12)	0.0095 (13)	0.0132 (14)	0.0020 (10)	0.0043 (10)	0.0031 (11)
C4	0.0079 (12)	0.0156 (14)	0.0080 (14)	0.0006 (11)	0.0039 (10)	-0.0018 (11)
C5	0.0048 (11)	0.0159 (14)	0.0082 (13)	0.0023 (11)	0.0027 (9)	0.0011 (11)
O6	0.0159 (11)	0.0119 (11)	0.0123 (11)	-0.0003 (8)	0.0028 (9)	0.0001 (8)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Zn1—O1	2.077 (2)	O5—H5B	0.855 (10)
Zn1—O1 ⁱ	2.077 (2)	C1—C2	1.506 (4)
Zn1—O5 ⁱ	2.080 (2)	C2—C3	1.500 (4)
Zn1—O5	2.080 (2)	C2—H2A	0.9900
Zn1—O4	2.115 (2)	C2—H2B	0.9900
Zn1—O4 ⁱ	2.115 (2)	C3—C5	1.384 (4)
O1—C1	1.262 (3)	C3—C4	1.395 (4)
O2—C1	1.261 (4)	C4—C5 ⁱⁱ	1.387 (4)
O3—C5	1.379 (3)	C4—H4	0.9500
O3—H3	0.8400	C5—C4 ⁱⁱ	1.387 (4)
O4—H4A	0.857 (10)	O6—H6A	0.836 (10)
O4—H4B	0.859 (10)	O6—H6B	0.843 (10)
O5—H5A	0.853 (10)		
?...?	?		
O1—Zn1—O1 ⁱ	180.0	Zn1—O5—H5B	119 (2)
O1—Zn1—O5 ⁱ	89.12 (9)	H5A—O5—H5B	114.9 (18)
O1 ⁱ —Zn1—O5 ⁱ	90.88 (9)	O2—C1—O1	123.9 (3)
O1—Zn1—O5	90.88 (9)	O2—C1—C2	119.2 (3)
O1 ⁱ —Zn1—O5	89.12 (9)	O1—C1—C2	116.8 (3)
O5 ⁱ —Zn1—O5	180.0	C3—C2—C1	114.8 (2)
O1—Zn1—O4	88.16 (8)	C3—C2—H2A	108.6
O1 ⁱ —Zn1—O4	91.84 (8)	C1—C2—H2A	108.6
O5 ⁱ —Zn1—O4	87.08 (8)	C3—C2—H2B	108.6
O5—Zn1—O4	92.92 (9)	C1—C2—H2B	108.6
O1—Zn1—O4 ⁱ	91.84 (8)	H2A—C2—H2B	107.6
O1 ⁱ —Zn1—O4 ⁱ	88.16 (8)	C5—C3—C4	118.1 (3)
O5 ⁱ —Zn1—O4 ⁱ	92.92 (9)	C5—C3—C2	121.4 (3)
O5—Zn1—O4 ⁱ	87.08 (8)	C4—C3—C2	120.5 (3)
O4—Zn1—O4 ⁱ	180.0	C5 ⁱⁱ —C4—C3	121.3 (3)
C1—O1—Zn1	126.52 (19)	C5 ⁱⁱ —C4—H4	119.4
C5—O3—H3	109.5	C3—C4—H4	119.4
Zn1—O4—H4A	86 (3)	O3—C5—C3	117.9 (3)
Zn1—O4—H4B	109 (3)	O3—C5—C4 ⁱⁱ	121.4 (3)
H4A—O4—H4B	113.9 (17)	C3—C5—C4 ⁱⁱ	120.7 (3)
Zn1—O5—H5A	109 (3)	H6A—O6—H6B	119.8 (19)

O5 ⁱ —Zn1—O1—C1	118.5 (2)	C1—C2—C3—C5	-77.9 (4)
O5—Zn1—O1—C1	-61.5 (2)	C1—C2—C3—C4	100.0 (3)
O4—Zn1—O1—C1	-154.4 (2)	C5—C3—C4—C5 ⁱⁱ	0.2 (5)
O4 ⁱ —Zn1—O1—C1	25.6 (2)	C2—C3—C4—C5 ⁱⁱ	-177.7 (3)
Zn1—O1—C1—O2	-7.4 (4)	C4—C3—C5—O3	179.1 (3)
Zn1—O1—C1—C2	173.89 (19)	C2—C3—C5—O3	-2.9 (4)
O2—C1—C2—C3	6.2 (4)	C4—C3—C5—C4 ⁱⁱ	-0.2 (5)
O1—C1—C2—C3	-175.0 (3)	C2—C3—C5—C4 ⁱⁱ	177.7 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (ii) $-x, -y+2, -z+1$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
O3—H3 \cdots O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.84	1.92	2.725 (3)	160
O4—H4A \cdots O2 ⁱ	0.857 (10)	1.823 (15)	2.616 (3)	153 (3)
O4—H4A \cdots O1 ⁱ	0.857 (10)	2.45 (3)	3.011 (3)	123 (3)
O4—H4B \cdots O6 ^{iv}	0.859 (10)	1.925 (10)	2.783 (3)	176 (3)
O5—H5A \cdots O3 ^v	0.853 (10)	2.000 (15)	2.828 (3)	164 (3)
O5—H5B \cdots O4 ^{iv}	0.855 (10)	1.956 (15)	2.787 (3)	164 (3)
O6—H6A \cdots O2 ^{vi}	0.836 (10)	2.11 (3)	2.781 (3)	137 (3)
O6—H6B \cdots O1	0.843 (10)	1.908 (15)	2.721 (3)	162 (3)

Symmetry codes: (iii) $x, y+1, z$; (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (iv) $x, -y+1/2, z-1/2$; (v) $x, -y+3/2, z-1/2$; (vi) $x, -y+3/2, z+1/2$.

supplementary materials

Fig. 1





Fig. 2



supplementary materials

Fig. 3

